

Outline

- Introduction
- Previous Work & Observation
- Proposed Approach
- Experimental Results
- Conclusion

Introduction

- Content-addressable memory (CAM) is a storage device, which provides an efficiently fast data-search function.
- To achieve an effective function of data searching, the data comparison architecture of CAMs is usually implemented in parallel operation structure.

Introduction

- However, due to parallel process characteristic, power consumption is always an important concern when designing CAM circuitry.
- Therefore, many articles have been devoted to the study of CAMs for low-power, in which power reduction has focused on the circuit and architecture domains.

Previous Work & Observation

- Recently, pre-computation technique has received as one of the most effective approaches for low-power designs.
- Pre-computation-Based CAM (PB-CAM) stores extra information along with data used in the data searching operation to eliminate most of the unnecessary comparison operations, thereby saving power.

Previous Work & Observation



Fig. 1. Memory organization of the PB-CAM.

1's Count PB-CAM

1's count

Search Data

4	← 1's counter ←	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	
Ţ	(Parameter Extractor)									
7	PML 0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	DML_0
3	PML 1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	DML_1
6	PML 2	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	DML_2
0	PML 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	DML ₃
5	PML 4	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	DML_4
4	PML 5	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	DML 5
1	PML 6	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	DML ₆
4	PML 7	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	DML ₇

(Parameter Memory)

(Data Memory)

Fig. 2. Conceptual view of the 1's count PB-CAM.

Mathematical Analysis for the 1's Count PB-CAM

• Assume that the inputs are independent and uniformly distributed, the number of input data related to the same parameter for *n*-bit input data can be determined by

$$N_{s.p.} = \binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} ,$$

where *k* is a number of ones for *n*-bit input data (which is from 0 to *n*).

• Therefore, the occurring average probability of every parameter can be derived by

$$P_{avg} = \frac{N_{s.p.}}{2^n}$$

Mathematical Analysis for the 1's Count PB-CAM

MATHEMATICAL FEATURE OF THE 1'S COUNT APPROACH FOR 15-BIT

Parameter		Number of Data	
		Related to the	Average Probability
		Same Parameter	
0	0000	1	0.003%
1	0001	15	0.046%
2	0010	105	0.320%
3	0011	455	1.389%
4	0100	1365	4.166%
5	0101	3003	9.164%
6	0110	5005	15.274%
7	0111	6435	19.638%
8	1000	6435	19.638%
9	1001	5005	15.274%
10	1010	3003	9.164%
11	1011	1365	4.166%
12	1100	455	1.389%
13	1101	105	0.320%
14	1110	15	0.046%
15	1111	1	0.003%

RANDOM INPUT DATA



1's Count Parameter Extractor



Fig. 5. 15-bit parameter extractor of the 1's count PB-CAM.

Deficiencies of the 1's Count Approach

- The normal distribution limits further the reduction of comparison operations in PB-CAMs so that the effect of reducing power consumption is also limited.
- 2. The 1's count parameter extractor is implemented with many full adders, which not only wastes area but increases delay.

Block-XOR PB-CAM

• To improve the major deficiencies of the 1's count approach, the concept behind the blockxor approach is to eliminate the normal distribution characteristic for random input data resulting from the 1's count approach and to reduce the delay and area of the parameter extractor.

Block-XOR Parameter Extractor



Fig. 6. 15-bit parameter extractor of the block-xor PB-CAM.

Mathematical Analysis for the Block-XOR PB-CAM

MATHEMATICAL FEATURE OF THE BLOCK-XOR APPROACH FOR 15-BIT

		Number of Data	
Parameter		Related to the	Average Probability
		Same Parameter	
0	0000	4×8×8×8=2048	6.25 %
1	0001	4×8×8×8=2048	6.25 %
2	0010	4×8×8×8=2048	6.25 %
3	0011	4×8×8×8=2048	6.25 %
4	0100	4×8×8×8=2048	6.25 %
5	0101	4×8×8×8=2048	6.25 %
6	0110	4×8×8×8=2048	6.25 %
7	0111	4×8×8×8=2048	6.25 %
8	1000	4×8×8×8=2048	6.25 %
9	1001	4×8×8×8=2048	6.25 %
10	1010	4×8×8×8=2048	6.25 %
11	1011	4×8×8×8=2048	6.25 %
12	1100	4×8×8×8=2048	6.25 %
13	1101	4×8×8×8=2048	6.25 %
14	1110	4×8×8×8=2048	6.25 %
15	1111	4×8×8×8=2048	6.25 %

RANDOM INPUT DATA



Comparisons of two PB-CAMs

THE NUMBER OF DATA RELATED TO THE SAME PARAMETER AND AVERAGE PROBABILITY FOR THE 1'S COUNT AND THE BLOCK-XOR

		Number o	f data related	Average		
Parameter		to the j	parameter	probability		
		1's count	Block-XOR	1's count	Block-XOR	
0000	0	1	2048	0.003%	6.25%	
0001	1	15	2048	0.046%	6.25%	
0010	2	105	2048	0.320%	6.25%	
0011	3	455	2048	1.389%	6.25%	
0100	4	1365	2048	4.166%	6.25%	
0101	5	3003	2048	9.164%	6.25%	
0110	6	5005	2048	15.274%	6.25%	
0111	7	6435	2048	19.638%	6.25%	
1000	8	6435	2048	19.638%	6.25%	
1001	9	5005	2048	15.274%	6.25%	
1010	10	3003	2048	9.164%	6.25%	
1011	11	1365	2048	4.166%	6.25%	
1100	12	455	2048	1.389%	6.25%	
1101	13	105	2048	0.320%	6.25%	
1110	14	15	2048	0.046%	6.25%	
1111	15	1	2048	0.003%	6.25%	

APPROACHES

Previous Work & Observation

- The probability viewpoint proves that the blockxor approach reduce the number of comparison operations in 88% of the cases for 15-bit random input data compared with that of the 1's count approach.
- However, for most of applications, their data distribution characteristics are specific rather than random.



Fig. 4. n-bit block diagram of the proposed parameter extractor architecture.

- Suppose that we use basic logic gates (AND, OR, XOR, NAND, NOR, and NXOR) to synthesize a parameter extractor for a specific data type, which has 6^7^(n/8) different logic combinations.
- Obviously, the optimal combination of this parameter extractor can not be found in polynomial time.

- To synthesize a proper parameter extractor in polynomial time for a specific data type, we propose a gate-block selection algorithm to find an approximately optimal combination.
- We illustrate how to select proper logic gates to synthesize a parameter extractor for specific data type from mathematical analysis below.

Mathematical Analysis

- For a 2-input logic gate, let *p* be the probability of the output signal Y that is *one* state.
- The probability mass function of the output signal Y is given by

$$P_Y(y) = \begin{cases} 1-p & y=0, \\ p & y=1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Mathematical Analysis

 Assume that the inputs are independent, if we use any 2input logic gate as a parameter extractor to generate the parameter for 2-bit data, then the PB-CAM requires the average number of comparison operations in each data comparison process can be formulated as

$$C_{avg} = N_0(1-p) + N_1 \cdot p$$

$$= N_0 \left(\frac{N_0}{N_0 + N_1}\right) + N_1 \left(\frac{N_1}{N_0 + N_1}\right)$$
$$= \frac{N_0^2 + N_1^2}{N_0 + N_1}$$

• where *NO* is the number of *zero* entries, and *N1* is the number of *one* entries for the generated parameters.

Mathematical Analysis

TRUTH TABLE AND AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMPARISON OPERATIONS OF BASIC LOGIC GATES FOR A 2-BIT SKEW DATA

А	В	AND	OR	XOR	NAND	NOR	NXOR
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
C_a	vg	4.33	3	3.33	4.33	3	3.33

- To reduce the complexity of our proposed algorithm and enhances the performance of the parameter extractor, our proposed approach only selects NAND, NOR, and XOR gates to synthesize the parameter extractor for our implementation.
- This is because that NAND and NOR are better than AND and OR in terms of the area, power, and speed.

Gate-Block Selection Algorithm

Algorithm to select proper logic gates for specific data :

Input data = $(D_0, D_1, \cdots, D_{n-1})$

n: bit length of the input data, l: number of input bits for each partition block.

Step 1 : Record $NAND_parameter(k) = \overline{D_{2i} \cdot D_{2i+1}}$ $NOR_parameter(k) = D_{2i} + D_{2i+1}$ $XOR_parameter(k) = D_{2i} \oplus D_{2i+1}$ for i, $k=0, 1, \dots, (n/2)-1$, \forall input patterns Step 2 : Compute $NAND_C_{ava}(k)$ $NOR_C_{ava}(k)$ $XOR_C_{avg}(k)$ using Equ. 4, $\forall k$ Step 3 : Select a logic gate with the minimal $C_{avg}(k), \forall k$ If generated parameter bits $> \lceil n/l \rceil$, Step 4 : repeat Step 1 to Step 3, and use previous generated parameter as input data. else finish.

Fig. 5. Gate-Block Selection Algorithm.

D_{2}	$_{3}D_{2}$	D_1	D_0	D_1	D_0	NAND	NOR	XOR	
0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	
1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	$D_1 D_0 C_{avg}$
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	NAND 8
0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	NOR 12.5 NOR 8.5
0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	AGR 0.5
0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	
0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	
1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	💛 minimal
1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	$D_1 D_2$
0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	
0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	
1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	Ý
0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	↓
1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	Y_0
0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	

D_3	D_2	D_1	D_0	D_3	D_2	NAND	NOR	XOR		
0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0		
1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	D_3D_2 C_{avg}	
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	NAND 12.5	
0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	XOR 9125	
0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	XOR [).125	
0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1		\mathbf{i}
0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0		
1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	\sim	minimal
1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	$D_2 D_2$	IIIIIII
0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	lĺ	
0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0		
1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1		
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	Ý	
0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	¥	
1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	Y_1	
0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0		





(d)

NUMBER OF COMPARISON OPERATIONS FOR DIFFERENT

PRE-COMPUTATION APPROACHES

Mibench	No. of	Comparison Ope	Reduction	Rate (%)	
(32 bits)	1's Count	Block-XOR	Proposed	Block-XOR	Proposed
bitcount	422380	346132	341096	18.05	19.24
blowfish	435004	360773	337670	17.06	22.38
crc	405983	331605	308350	18.32	24.05
dijstra	426475	344112	319306	19.31	25.13
fft	468361	362362	343951	22.63	26.56
ispell	775538	689230	613811	11.13	20.85
patricia	433285	357407	314480	17.51	27.42
quicksort	416439	325130	306307	21.93	26.45
rijndael	448336	374075	359401	16.56	19.84
susan	639221	515755	488750	19.32	23.54
	Average R	18.18	23.55		

IMPLEMENTATION CONFIGURATION					
	All PB-CAMs				
Technology	TSMC 0.35µm, 2P4M, 3.3 V				
Configuration	128×32				
Word structure	Static [11]				
Match Line (CAM)	NOR type				
CAM cell	Traditional Design				

Mibench	Av	erage Power (m	Reduction	Rate (%)	
(32 bits)	1's Count	Block-XOR	Proposed	Block-XOR	Proposed
bitcount	74.88	61.85	60.70	17.40	18.93
blowfish	73.71	61.57	59.56	16.46	19.19
crc	74.33	61.55	59.24	17.19	20.29
dijstra	73.87	60.98	59.14	17.44	19.93
fft	74.58	60.95	59.50	18.27	20.22
ispell	73.77	63.40	60.70	14.05	17.72
patricia	74.54	61.75	59.37	17.16	20.35
quicksort	74.60	61.02	58.87	18.20	21.09
rijndael	73.65	61.38	60.25	16.66	18.19
susan	75.63	62.77	61.41	17.00	18.80
	Average R	eduction Rate		16.98	19.47

POWER CONSUMPTION OF DIFFERENT PB-CAMS

COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT PARAMETER EXTRACTORS FOR MIBENCH									
	1's Count	Block-XOR	Proposed						
Critical Path	$FA \times 8 + OR \times 1$	XOR×3	LG×3						
Area	$FA \times 41 + OR \times 1$	$XOR \times 28$	LG×28						
Average Power	$6.58\ mW$	$1.02 \ mW$	$0.67 \ mW$						
Parameter Bits	6	4	4						

LG : Logic Gate (which is NAND, NOR, or XOR) FA : Full Adder

Conclusion

- In this paper, a gate-block selection algorithm has been proposed, which can synthesize a proper parameter extractor of the PB-CAM for a specific data type.
- As shown in our experimental results, the proposed PB-CAM is very suitable for specific applications such as embedded systems.

Thank You !