

WUCC: Joint WCET and Update Conscious Compilation for Cyber Physical Systems

Yazhi Huang Mengying Zhao **Chun Jason Xue**

Department of Computer Science City University of Hong Kong

Outline

- Introduction
- Motivational example
- Problem analysis
- The proposed algorithm
- Experimental results
- Conclusions

Introduction



- Cyber physical systems
 - Usually real-time systems
 - A number of sensor nodes
 - Sensor nodes : powered by batteries, with preloaded code
 - Code update: wireless communication, energy consuming



Introduction

Challenges of cyber physical systems

Code Update Problem:

 Update of preloaded code on remote sensor nodes powered by batteries is extremely energy consuming.

WCET problem:

 CPSs are often real-time embedded systems.
Therefore, worst-case execution time (WCET) is an important real-time constraint

Reduce

WCF⁻

Previous works

- Code Update Problem: Li et al. proposed an update conscious compilation technique to improve the code similarity for energy consumption minimization in the wireless sensor network. ("UCC: update conscious compilation for energy efficiency in wireless sensor network" in *PLDI 07*)
- WCET problem: Falk presented a WCET-aware register allocator to avoid spill code generation along the critical path of a program for WCET reduction in real-time embedded systems. ("WCET-aware register allocation based on graph coloring" in DAC 09)

- Limitations:

- UCC: Too many MOVE insertion \rightarrow Increase in WCET
- WCET-aware RA: Increase in code difference
- Our goal is to reduce WCET and code difference simultaneously for real-time cyber physical systems

Motivational example



- BB1 is on WCEP while BB2 is not
- (a) (d) are original code
- (b) (e) are changed code
- (c) (f) are update conscious compilation solution
 - With UCC technique, code similarity is improved by inserting necessary MOVE operations

Motivational example

Approach	Negative WCET effect	Code diff	Energy saving
UCC [2]	100%	0%	100%
Proposed	0% - 10%	25%	75%
WCET [1]	0%	100%	0%

 By selecting appropriate basic blocks for UCC, most of code similarity may be achieved and at the same time have less negative effect on a program's WCET



- If implementing WCETaware technique without considering code update, code similarity benefit is 0% (i.e. code difference is 100%)
- If only implementing UCC, relative code difference is 0%, but relative WCET increment is 100%
- The proposed technique only implements UCC for WCEP block BB1, but can improve most of code similarity, at 75% in this example

Overview



If the solution can be further improved

- CFG in IR form as input
- WCET analysis and code similarity analysis will be conducted simultaneously during the compilation process
- Each time select an appropriate CFG node
- Update-conscious compilation technique is implemented in the selected node
- New WCEP information is calculated and the new version code is used for next iteration of WCET and code similarity analysis
- This iteration continues until a balanced solution is obtained

Problem analysis

- Strategy for CFG node selection
 - Principle:
 - improve more node similarity
 - have zero or minimal negative effect on WCEP
 - Benefit:
 - processing this type of nodes first will leave more space for processing the rest of nodes
 - more nodes have potential to be selected and processed
 - Propose:
 - Therefore we propose to mark a less frequently executed node on non-WCEP with more number of executions and less variables to be updated for processing first

Problem analysis

• Update candidate set during node selection

- WCEP change:

- The candidate node set during node selection might change due to the potential change of WCEP after a block is processed
- Candidate set re-construction:



Problem analysis

• A priority model for CFG node selection

$$P_i = \frac{CS_i}{M_i \times Freq_i} \tag{5}$$

- *Mi*: the number of *Move* operations that a node *i* requires.
- Freqi: the execution frequency of a node i.
- CSi: the code similarity benefit
- Suggests : the more code similarity profit per unit potential increase in WCEP a node can bring, the higher priority it should be given
- Benefit : less negative effect on WCET and more energy saving benefit

Algorithm

- Set a default WCET increment counter
- Calculate WCEP
- Calculate priority
- Select a node based on priority
- Update conscious compilation technique is applied in the selected node
- Update counter
- Repeat above steps as long as WCET is less than a given threshold

Experimental results





- With a threshold of 10% increase in WCET, code similarity: 70% --85%. On average 76% of code similarity is achieved compared to UCC
- 64% of code similarity can be achieved with 5% threshold while 84% benefit with 15% threshold
- To summarize, with a small increase in WCET, WUCC can effectively achieve most of code similarity

Experimental results

• Code difference among three approaches, WCET-aware technique as comparison base



Fig. 7. The code difference of different benchmarks under WUCC, UCC, and WCET-aware technique. The results of WCET-aware compilation technique in [1] are used as the comparison base.

– With remarkable WCET benefit, code difference under WUCC is just a little larger than UCC

Conclusions

- We propose a compiler level optimization, joint WCET and update conscious compilation, for WCET and code difference minimization in cyber physical systems
- A novel CFG node selection heuristic is proposed, where a priority based model is built by considering a node's code similarity benefit, MOVE operation requirement, and the execution frequency
- We formulate the target problem and implement a greedy algorithm to achieve a balanced result



Fhank you!

Thank you!

