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## Introduction

- Next generation lithography solutions being actively pursued (EUV, e-beam, directed selfassembly, nanoimprint).
- Uses of e-beam

1. For mask writing
2. E-beam direct write

- directly write on wafer
- avoid ever-increasing mask cost
- has very high resolution and no depth of focus problem
- Our objective: maximize e-beam writing throughput


## E-beam Writing with Character Projection

- Character projection method
- Patterns that occur many times in a die are made into a set of characters on a stencil
- Then one shot can print a complex pattern rather than a single rectangle



## Character Projection Reduces Shot Count


(a) As a character on the stencil, the whole pattern can be printed in 1 shot.
(b)Otherwise, requires 4 shots using variableshaped beam (VSB) mode.

## Traditional Stencil Design



- Stencil size is limited.
- Traditionally, stencil as a 2D-array for holding characters.
- Pick and place the $N$ most beneficial characters into $N$ pre-designated spots on the stencil.


## Flexible Packed Stencil Design w/ Multiple Shaping Apertures

- Increase \# chars on stencil by
- using multiple shaping apertures (smaller characters can use smaller shaping apertures)
- flexible bank space sharing

3 different sized shaping apertures


## Flexible Packed Stencil Design w/ Multiple Shaping Apertures

- Flexible blank space sharing packs characters in the smallest space.

(c)

(a) Traditional packing of chars on a stencil.
(b) Previous works pack chars in smaller space.
(c) Our work pack chars in the smallest space.


## Problem Formulation

- Given
- K: \# of shaping apertures allowed
- C: set of char candidates for a cell-based circuit (each char corr. to a particular orientation of a standard cell)
- Dimensions of stencil
- How to
- determine optimal widths for the $K$ shaping apertures
- choose an optimal subset of chars from $C$ and flexibly pack them on the stencil w/ blank space sharing
in order to minimize total shot count for printing the circuit?


## Good and Bad News

- Bad news
- Flexible packed stencil design is NP-hard.
- Good news
- Tight linear packing is near optimal and can be computed efficiently.


Non-tight packing

Tight packing
(blank spaces of adjacent chars completely overlap)

## Our Algorithm

1. Determine $K$ projection region widths \& select a subset of chars to be put on stencil by dynamic programming.
2. Assign chars selected in Step 1 to rows on the stencil \& construct a tight linear packing for each row.
3. Greedily pack some of the unselected chars at the end of each row, if possible.

## Challenges for DP Formulation

- $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{|C|}\right)$ runtime and memory requirement just to determine whether to include each char in stencil.
$-|C|>1000$ (over 1000 cell types used in a circuit)
- Want to simultaneously determine the projection width used by each chosen char s.t. \# different projection widths used $\leq K$.
- Width consumed by a set of chars is not equal to their total width (also depends on projection width used by each char \& amount of blank space sharing).



## Useful Properties

- Let $w_{c}$ be the width of char $c$.
- Let $S$ be the safety margin.

1. Projection width for char $c$ must be $\geq w_{c}+2 S$

2. To choose Koptimal projection region widths $e_{1, \ldots}, e_{k}$, suffice to consider $e_{i}=w_{c}+2 S$ for some c. (\# distinct widths in a cell library is limited.)

## Useful Properties

- Let $E_{c}$ be the projection region width used by $c$. 3. Effective width of $c$ in a tight linear packing is

$$
w_{c}+\left(E_{c}-w_{c}\right) / 2=\left(w c+E_{c}\right) / 2
$$


4. If $m$ chars are ordered s.t. $E_{i}-w_{i} \leq E_{i+1}-W_{i+1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m-1$, then a tight linear packing can be constructed in that order.
Proof: By induction

## Useful Properties

5. There exists an optimal solution s.t.

$$
w_{c} \leq w d \Leftrightarrow E_{c} \leq E_{d}
$$


6. For chars with same width, one that produces a higher shot saving should be included in stencil with higher priority.

- Shot saving of including char $c$ is $r_{c}\left(n_{V S B C}-1\right)$ where $r_{c}=\#$ times $c$ appears in the circuit $n_{V S B C}=$ \# shots to print $c$ by VSB


## Our DP Formulation

- Take advantage of these properties.
- Character Grouping technique
- Avoid considering each char separately.
- Group all chars according to width.
- Sort chars in each group in decreasing shot saving.
- For each group $G$, there are only $|G|+1$ possible choices (i.e., include first $i$ chars of G where $i=0$ to $|G|$ )
- Process the groups in decreasing order of their char widths (so no group ever use a projection width larger than that of a previous group).


## Experimental Results

- Benchmarks
- 1D-1 to 1D-4 (1000 chars each) from E-BLOW in DAC'2013
- 1D-1h to 1D-4h (1200chars each)
- Stencil size: $1000 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ X $1000 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
- Memory requirement
- without character grouping technique, $>18 \mathrm{~GB}$ in some cases
- with character grouping technique, $<0.5 \mathrm{~GB}$ in each case
- Maximum runtime ~40s (Linux server w/ $2.67 \mathrm{GHz} \mathrm{CPU})$


## Experimental Results

|  | E-BLOW |  | Ours (K=1) |  | Ours (K=2) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#shots | \#chars | \#shots | \#chars | \#shots | \#chars |
| 1D-1 | 29536 | 934 | 12972 | 980 | 10418 | 1000 |
| 1D-2 | 44544 | 863 | 28594 | 895 | 10418 | 1000 |
| 1D-3 | 78704 | 758 | 55761 | 797 | 30785 | 902 |
| 1D-4 | 107460 | 699 | 79275 | 734 | 44468 | 837 |
| Normalized | 1.65 | 0.955 | 1 | 1 | 0.57 | 1.102 |


|  | Ours (K=1) |  | Ours (K=2) |  | Ours (K=3) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#shots | \#chars | \#shots | \#chars | \#shots | \#chars |
| 1D-1h | 58648 | 980 | 26467 | 1114 | 17534 | 1163 |
| 1D-2h | 86176 | 905 | 48891 | 1018 | 39630 | 1068 |
| 1D-3h | 135332 | 800 | 93109 | 916 | 75709 | 948 |
| 1D-4h | 169105 | 739 | 116219 | 855 | 98204 | 886 |
| Normalized | 1 | 1 | 0.598 | 1.141 | 0.475 | 1.188 |

## Conclusions

- Developed an efficient algorithm for flexible packed stencil design w/ multiple shaping apertures by taking note of several useful properties.
- \# e-beam shots to print a circuit is greatly reduced by
- Selecting optimal shaping aperture size(s)
- Using multiple shaping apertures
- Flexible blank space sharing
- Directly applicable to multi-beam direct write system.

