SASIMI: Sparsity-Aware Simulation of Interconnect-Dominated Circuits with Non-Linear Devices

J. Jain, S. Cauley, C.-K. Koh, and V. Balakrishnan

School of Electrical and Computer Engineering Purdue University



- MNA Formulation
- Our Contribution
- RLP Formulation
- Computationally Efficient Implementation
 - Nonlinear System
 - Linear System
- Numerical Results
- Conclusion

- MNA Formulation
- Our Contribution
- RLP Formulation
- Computationally Efficient Implementation
 - Nonlinear System
 - Linear System
- Numerical Results
- Conclusion

MNA Formulation

$$\widetilde{G}x + \widetilde{C}\dot{x} = b$$

where

$$\widetilde{G} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{G} & A_l^T \\ -A_l & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \widetilde{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{C} & 0 \\ 0 & L \end{bmatrix} \quad x = \begin{bmatrix} v_n \\ i_l \end{bmatrix}$$
$$b = \begin{bmatrix} A_i^T I_s + I_{nl} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathcal{G} = A_g^T R^{-1} A_g \quad \mathcal{C} = A_c^T C A_c \quad I_{nl} = f(v_n)$$

MNA continued....

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} x(t) \right|_{t=kh} \approx \frac{x^{k+1} - x^k}{h} \quad \text{and} \quad x^k \approx \frac{x^{k+1} + x^k}{2}$$

leads to following set of linear and non-linear equations

$$\left(\frac{\widetilde{G}}{2} + \frac{\widetilde{C}}{h}\right) x^{k+1} = -\left(\frac{\widetilde{G}}{2} - \frac{\widetilde{C}}{h}\right) x^k + \frac{b^{k+1} + b^k}{2}$$
$$I_{nl}^{k+1} = f\left(v_n^{k+1}\right)$$

- Direct implementation $\Rightarrow O(pqn^3)$
 - p: number of simulation steps
 - q: number of Newton-Raphson iterations

- MNA Formulation
- Our Contribution
- RLP Formulation
- Computationally Efficient Implementation
 - Nonlinear System
 - Linear System
- Numerical Results
- Conclusion

Our Contribution

- Extension of the RLP Formulation (proposed in ICCAD 2004) to include non-linear devices, without sacrificing the computational benefits achieved due to sparsity of the linear system.
- Introduction of a novel preconditioner constructed based on the sparsity structure of the non-linear system.

- MNA Formulation
- Our Contribution
- RLP Formulation
- Computationally Efficient Implementation
 - Nonlinear System
 - Linear System
- Numerical Results
- Conclusion

RLP Formulation

• An alternative formulation of MNA equations

Let



- The above decomposition leads to two sets of equations
- Direct implementation termed as Exact-RLP algorithm

Linear System

• Ax = b with a constant, approximately sparse A^{-1}

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{\left(\frac{L}{h} + \frac{R}{2} + \frac{h}{4}A_{1}P_{cc}A_{1}^{T}\right)}_{X}i_{l}^{k+1} &= \underbrace{\left(\frac{L}{h} - \frac{R}{2} - \frac{h}{4}A_{1}P_{cc}A_{1}^{T}\right)}_{Y}i_{l}^{k} \\ &+ A_{1}v_{c}^{k} + \frac{h}{4}A_{1}P_{cc}A_{i1}^{T}\left(I_{s}^{k+1} + I_{s}^{k}\right) - A_{1}P_{cc}C_{cv}\left(v_{v}^{k+1} - v_{v}^{k}\right) \\ &+ \frac{A_{2}}{2}\left(v_{v}^{k+1} + v_{v}^{k}\right) \\ &+ \frac{A_{2}}{2}\left(v_{v}^{k+1} + v_{v}^{k}\right) \\ &v_{c}^{k+1} &= v_{c}^{k} - \frac{h}{2}P_{cc}A_{2}^{T}\left(i_{l}^{k+1} + i_{l}^{k}\right) + \frac{h}{2}P_{cc}A_{i1}^{T}\left(I_{s}^{k+1} + I_{s}^{k}\right) \\ &- P_{cc}C_{cv}\left(v_{v}^{k+1} - v_{v}^{k}\right) \end{aligned}$$

NonLinear System

• Ax = b with sparse time varying A matrix

$$C_{vv}v_v^{k+1} = C_{vv}v_v^k - \frac{h}{2}A_2^T \left(i_l^{k+1} + i_l^k\right) + \frac{h}{2}A_{i2}^T \left(I_s^{k+1} + I_s^k\right) - C_{vc} \left(v_c^{k+1} - v_c^k\right) + \frac{h}{2} \left(I_v^{k+1} + I_v^k\right)$$

$$I_v^{k+1} = f\left(v_v^{k+1}\right)$$

- MNA Formulation
- Our Contribution
- RLP Formulation
- Computationally Efficient Implementation
 - Nonlinear System
 - Linear System
- Numerical Results
- Conclusion

Solving sparse time-varying linear equations

- Krylov subspace method work very well
- \bullet The choice of a preconditoner matrix, M, greatly affects convergence
- Properties of good preconditioner
 - $M^{-1}A \approx I$
 - Fast solution of Mz = c for a general c

Sparsity in A (Circuit with inverters)



- More complicated circuit structures
 - distribute the entries around the diagonal and off-diagonal bands
 - lead to possibly more off diagonal bands

Preconditioner Matrix



- Inverse of the preconditioner matrix can be computed efficiently in linear time
- Fast matrix-vector products, again in linear time

Hadamard Product Representation

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1} & -b_{1} & & \\ -b_{1} & a_{2} & -b_{2} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & -b_{n-2} & a_{n-1} & -b_{n-1} \\ & & & -b_{n-1} & a_{n} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$B^{-1} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} u_{1} & u_{1} & \cdots & u_{1} \\ u_{1} & u_{2} & \cdots & u_{2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_{1} & u_{2} & \cdots & u_{n} \end{pmatrix}}_{U} \circ \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} v_{1} & v_{2} & \cdots & v_{n} \\ v_{2} & v_{2} & \cdots & v_{n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{n} & v_{n} & \cdots & v_{n} \end{pmatrix}}_{V}$$

• Simple example of a tridiagonal matrix

Hadamard Product....

- Explicit formulae exist to compute the sequences $\{u\}, \{v\}$ efficiently in O(n) operations
- Matrix Vector products can be done in linear time

Matrix Vector product $y = B^{-1}c$ can be computed as follows

$$P_{u_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{i} u_j c_j \qquad P_{v_i} = \sum_{j=i}^{n} v_j c_j$$
$$y_1 = u_1 P_{v_1}$$
$$y_i = v_i P_{u_{i-1}} + u_i P_{v_i}$$

- MNA Formulation
- Our Contribution
- RLP Formulation
- Computationally Efficient Implementation
 - Nonlinear System
 - Linear System
- Numerical Results
- Conclusion

Solving Ax = b with a constant, approximately sparse A^{-1}

Rewrite equations corresponding to the linear system

$$i_{l}^{k+1} = X^{-1}Yi_{l}^{k} + X^{-1}A_{1}v_{c}^{k} + \frac{h}{4}X^{-1}A_{1}P_{cc}A_{i1}^{T}\left(I_{s}^{k+1} + I_{s}^{k}\right)$$
$$-X^{-1}A_{1}P_{cc}C_{cv}\left(v_{v}^{k+1} - v_{v}^{k}\right) + \frac{A_{2}}{2}\left(v_{v}^{k+1} + v_{v}^{k}\right)$$

$$X^{-1}A_{1}v_{c}^{k+1} = X^{-1}A_{1}v_{c}^{k} - X^{-1}A_{1}\frac{h}{2}P_{cc}A_{2}^{T}\left(i_{l}^{k+1} + i_{l}^{k}\right)$$
$$+\frac{h}{2}X^{-1}A_{1}P_{cc}A_{i1}^{T}\left(I_{s}^{k+1} + I_{s}^{k}\right) - X^{-1}A_{1}P_{cc}C_{cv}\left(v_{v}^{k+1} - v_{v}^{k}\right)$$

Advantages

- Sparse X^{-1}
 - Fast inverse of X
 - Sparse multiplications
- Time Complexity $O\left(pq\left(1-\nu\right)l^2\right)$
 - ν is the minimum of the sparsity indices of the matrices $X^{-1}Y$, $X^{-1}A_1$ and $X^{-1}A_1P_{cc}A_{i1}^T$
 - -l is the size of interconnect structure directly connected to nonlinear devices

Sparsity in $X^{-1}Y$, $X^{-1}A_1$ and $X^{-1}A_1P_{cc}A_{i1}^T$

• System with parallel conductors driving bank of inverters



Fast inverse of X

- Suppose sparsity pattern in X^{-1} is known (ICCAD 2004)
- Manipulations of only a subset of the entries of the X matrix can be used to compute the inverse matrix
- Let X be a 5 * 5 matrix
- 3th row of X^{-1} has the following form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \star \star 0 \star \end{bmatrix}$$

Fast inverse ...

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \star & \star & 0 & \star \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} & X_{13} & X_{14} & X_{15} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} & X_{23} & X_{24} & X_{25} \\ X_{31} & X_{32} & X_{33} & X_{34} & X_{35} \\ X_{41} & X_{42} & X_{43} & X_{44} & X_{45} \\ X_{51} & X_{52} & X_{53} & X_{54} & X_{55} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Fast inverse ...

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \star & \star & 0 & \star \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} & X_{13} & X_{14} & X_{15} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} & X_{23} & X_{24} & X_{25} \\ X_{31} & X_{32} & X_{33} & X_{34} & X_{35} \\ X_{41} & X_{42} & X_{43} & X_{44} & X_{45} \\ X_{51} & X_{52} & X_{53} & X_{54} & X_{55} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Fast inverse ...

Hence the 3rd row of X^{-1} could be exactly computed from the second row of

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{22} & X_{23} & X_{25} \\ X_{32} & X_{33} & X_{35} \\ X_{52} & X_{53} & X_{55} \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

- α_i nonzero entries in the *i*th row of X^{-1}
- In typical Interconnect structures $\alpha_i \ll n$
- Computation time for $X^{-1} \Rightarrow O\left(\sum_{i} \alpha_{i}^{3}\right) = O\left(n\right)$

- MNA Formulation
- Our Contribution
- RLP Formulation
- Computationally Efficient Implementation
 - Nonlinear System
 - Linear System
- Numerical Results
- Conclusion

Experimental set up

- Implemented SASIMI in C++
- Circuits consisting of busses with parallel conductors driving bank of inverters
- 1V Periodic square wave
- Time step .15ps

Results: Run time comparison

σ	$\rho=5$		<i>ρ</i> =20		ρ=50	
	SPICE	SASIMI	SPICE	SASIMI	SPICE	SASIMI
100	11.96	1.26	13.73	.21	13.54	.12
200	100.25	2.68	68.72	.28	67.68	.22
500	3590.12	4.872	1919.21	3.01	1790.67	1.30
1000	>12hrs	22.71	>10hrs	16.49	>10hrs	15.20
2000	> 1day	78.06	> 1day	59.33	> 1day	56.05

 $\rho := \frac{\# \text{ Linear Elements}}{\# \text{ NonLinear Elements}} \sigma := \# \text{ Linear Elements}$

- SASIMI is about 1400 times faster than SPICE
- \bullet Percentage improvement increases with increase in ρ and σ

Results: Accuracy Comparison



Comparable performance from the point of view of accuracy

- MNA Formulation
- Our Contribution
- RLP Formulation
- Computationally Efficient Implementation
 - Nonlinear System
 - Linear System
- Numerical Results
- Conclusion

Conclusion

- SASIMI, a technique for simulation of large-scale Interconnect dominated VLSI circuits, has been proposed
 - Offers a potential of exploiting sparsity at a Simulation level
 - Computationally efficient
 - Preserves simulation accuracy